

REMARKS

Claims 1, 12, 13, and 17 have been amended and claims 1, 3-9, and 11-21 are pending and under consideration. Support for these amendments can be found at least in paragraph 23 of the specification. No new matter is presented in this Amendment.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102:

Claims 1, 3-9, 11, and 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Lamkin et al. (U.S. Patent 7,178,106 B2). The applicants respectfully traverse.

Lamkin fails to disclose that the prohibiting occurs when a second event occurs using second event information recorded in the markup document, as recited in claim 1. Lamkin discloses that the navigation buttons do not work for DVD navigation unless video is playing in full-screen mode (col. 19, lines 51-54). While the Examiner relies on this function as corresponding to the second event information recited in the claims, Lamkin does not indicate that this feature of the full-screen video mode is activated based on second event information recorded in the markup document. The disclosure in fact suggests that the button disabling feature will always be present when the video is playing in full-screen mode, not that the feature can be triggered based on second event information included in the markup document.

Lamkin also does not expressly disclose how the navigation buttons do not work for DVD navigation unless video is playing in full-screen mode. Claim 1 recites that the AV playback engine is prohibited from being informed of the occurrence of the key input event by the ENAV engine when a second event occurs. Lamkin, on the other hand, merely discloses that the navigation buttons do not work for DVD navigation (col. 19, lines 51-54), without disclosing how this functionality is to be expressed.

In addition, Lamkin also fails to disclose that second event information is read by the ENAV engine, when the AV data is reproduced in the interactive mode comprising a full mode and an embedded mode, and causes the ENAV engine to prohibit informing the AV playback engine, which decodes the AV data, of the occurrence of the key input event.

Because the Examiner deems that "when video is not playing a full-screen mode" in Lamkin is a second event of the present invention, according to the examiner, when the second event information occurs, the navigation buttons do not work. This means that when video is playing a full-screen mode, navigation buttons work, and when the video is playing in a window within a web page

not the full-screen mode, navigation buttons do not work for DVD navigation.

However, claim 1 recites wherein the first event information and the second event information are read by the ENAV engine when the AV data is reproduced in the interactive mode comprising the full mode and the embedded mode. When video is playing in a full-screen mode and second event information is read by the ENAV engine, the second event information causes the ENAV engine to prohibit informing the AV playback engine of the occurrence of the key input event, thus navigation buttons do not work. Furthermore, when video is playing an embedded mode, not a full-screen mode and first event information is read by the ENAV engine, the first event information causes the ENAV engine inform the AV playback engine of the occurrence of the key input event, thus the navigation buttons work. Lamkin, on the other hand, can not explain these features.

Claims 3-9 and 11 depend from claim 1. The rejection of claims 3-9 and 11 should be withdrawn for at least the reasons given above with respect to claim 1.

Claims 12, 13 and 17 recite limitations similar to claim 1. Accordingly, the rejection of claims 12, 13 and 17 should be withdrawn for at least the reasons given above with respect to claim 1.

Claims 14-16 depend from claim 13. The rejection of claims 14-16 should be withdrawn for at least the reasons given above with respect to claim 13.

Claims 18-21 depend from claim 17. The rejection of claims 18-21 should be withdrawn for at least the reasons given above with respect to claim 17.

CONCLUSION:

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 503333.

Respectfully submitted,

STEIN MCEWEN, LLP

Date: _____

8/25/05

By: _____

G. Clinton

Gregory L. Clinton
Registration No. 59,134

1400 Eye St., NW
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 216-9505
Facsimile: (202) 216-9510